THE ROLE OF FLUOROCARBONS IN OXIDATIVE-ADDITION AND -ELIMINATION REACTIONS

F. G. A. STONE

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, The University, Bristol BS8 1TS

ABSTRACT

This lecture describes a comprehensive study of the reactions of unsaturated fluorocarbons with zero-valent complexes of nickel, palladium and platinum. Many stable complexes $L_2M(AB)$, where $A:B = CF_2:CFX \ [X = F, Cl, CF_3]$ etc], $(CF_3)_2C:O$ and $(CF_3)_2C:NR \ [R = H \text{ or } Me]$, have been prepared, as well as five-membered ring complexes $L_2M \cdot A \cdot B \cdot A \cdot B$. The various factors which determine the nature of the products are discussed, and it is shown that several of the five-membered ring complexes are formed from the adducts $L_2M \cdot A \cdot B$. The formation of azine metal complexes from $(CF_3)_2CN_2$ is also described.

INTRODUCTION

In 1960, at Harvard University, my research group discovered several methods for synthesizing fluorocarbon-transition metal complexes¹⁻⁴. These included some reactions in which metal ions with a d⁸-configuration are formally oxidized by a fluorocarbon, viz.

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CO})_{5} + \operatorname{C}_{2}\operatorname{F}_{5}I \to \operatorname{Fe}(\operatorname{CO})_{4}(\operatorname{C}_{2}\operatorname{F}_{5})I + \operatorname{CO} \\ \operatorname{d}^{8}(\operatorname{Fe}^{0}) & \operatorname{d}^{6}(\operatorname{Fe}^{II}) \end{array} \\ \\ \operatorname{Co}(\operatorname{CO})_{2}(\pi \operatorname{C}_{5}\operatorname{H}_{5}) + 2\operatorname{CF}_{2}:\operatorname{CF}_{2} \to \operatorname{Co}\operatorname{CF}_{2}(\operatorname{CF}_{2})_{2}\operatorname{CF}_{2}(\pi \operatorname{C}_{5}\operatorname{H}_{5})(\operatorname{CO}) + \operatorname{CO} \\ \operatorname{d}^{8}(\operatorname{Co}^{II}) & \operatorname{d}^{6}(\operatorname{Co}^{III}) \end{array}$$

These syntheses are now known to be examples of a widespread class of reaction in which the metal's oxidation state and coordination number are both increased as a result of interaction with a substrate molecule^{5, 6}. In this context reactions of Vaska's⁷ iridium(1) complex, $Ir(PPh_3)_2(CO)Cl$, have been intensively studied, the term oxidative-addition being used to describe the over-all processes given in *Scheme 1*. Two types of product may be recognized according to whether in the reaction with a substrate molecule AB the reactant has been cleaved into two fragments forming distinct Ir-A and Ir-B bonds, or whether the reactant merely complexes with the iridium ion to form an adduct with a three-centre interaction Ir(AB). In the former case the coordination number of the metal has clearly changed from four to six so that it is no longer coordinatively unsaturated and its oxidation number has increased from +I to +III, following the accepted convention⁶. In the latter case, a bond, albeit modified in character compared with that in

the free substrate⁸, remains between A and B in the product; so that the assignment of coordination number and oxidation state to the iridium atom cannot be settled with certainty. The complex will contain five or six coordinate iridium depending on whether the group AB is regarded as being a monoor bidentate ligand. The extent of oxidation of the metal ion depends on the degree to which electrons are transferred to the antibonding orbitals of the reactant, which in turn depends on its electrophilic character. Since the latter varies for each reactant it becomes impossible to assign integral oxidation states to the metal, and as a working hypothesis it is necessary to qualitatively relate oxidation of the metal to some spectroscopic property of a ligand in the complex.



Scheme 1. Some examples of the oxidative-addition chemistry of $Ir(PPh_3)_2(CO)Cl^{,7,10,11}$ and the oxidative-elimination chemistry of $Pt(PPh_3)_4^{12-14}$.

Complexes in which the metal has a d^{10} -configuration also undergo facile oxidative reactions with electrophilic reagents. A good example of this behaviour is shown by Malatesta's⁹ platinum compound Pt(PPh₃)₄ (see *Scheme 1*). Ostensibly the reactions shown appear to proceed without increase in the coordination number of the platinum atom. However, it is known^{15*} that Pt(PPh₃)₄ dissociates in benzene giving Pt(PPh₃)_n [n=2 or 3]. Reaction of the latter species with electrophiles does therefore involve an increase in the coordination number of the metal in the oxidative step, but the net loss of phosphine ligands leads to the term oxidative-elimination¹⁶ being more properly applied to these processes, and equally to those, mentioned above, in which carbon monoxide is released.

^{*} More recent work (C. A. Tolman, W. C. Seidel and D. H. Gerlach, *Inorg. Chem.* in press) has established that dissociation stops at n = 3.

The electrophilic character of unsaturated organic fluorine-containing compounds is well known^{17,18} and arises through withdrawal of electron density by fluorine atoms from C=C, C=O, C=S and C=N groups. We are carrying out a comprehensive study of reactions of fluorocarbons with d^8 and d^{10} metal complexes; especially the latter. In this way it is hoped to gain a better understanding of the ability of low-valent transition metal complexes to activate unsaturated organic molecules in general. The bonding of a fluorocarbon such as tetrafluoroethylene to a transition metal ion would be similar to that of a hydrocarbon such as ethylene in that one can rationalize the attachment by invoking the customary idea of a synergic effect involving both the σ -donating and the π -acceptor characteristics of the ligand. With a fluoro-olefin, however, as discussed later, retro-dative bonding from the metal would be particularly important. Bonding of the two ligands (C_2F_4 or C_2H_4) would thus differ only in degree rather than in kind. Hence a study of the interaction of unsaturated fluorocarbons with metal complexes could increase our knowledge of the mechanisms of certain catalytic reactions involving hydrocarbons such as, for example, ethylene or butadiene.

Such a connection between hydrocarbon- and fluorocarbon-metal chemistry is illustrated by some recent studies of reactions of tetrafluoroethylene with π -allylcobalt and -rhodium complexes. π -Allylcobalt tricarbonyl reacts with tetrafluoroethylene at room temperature almost quantitatively to give complex (I), formulated as an insertion product on the basis of its infrared and n.m.r. (¹H and ¹⁹F) spectra¹⁹. It seemed likely that (II) would be a precursor in the formation of (I) but no evidence for the former compound was obtained. In contrast, with π -allylrhodium compounds it has been possible to isolate and fully characterize both insertion products such as (IIIa) and complexes such as $(IV)^{20}$. Thus if π -allylrhodium dicarbonyl, methyldiphenylphosphine, and tetrafluoroethylene are heated at 60°C the insertion product (IIIa) (v_{CO} 1996 cm⁻¹) is obtained, whereas if the reactants are mixed at room temperature compound (IV) is produced. In related studies, π -allylrhodium dicarbonyl reacts with triphenylarsine to give $Rh(\pi-C_3H_5)(AsPh_3)_2(CO)[v_{CO} 1945 \text{ cm}^{-1}]$ which will subsequently react with tetrafluoroethylene to give (IIIb).

Complexes (III) and (IV) are structurally very similar to the intermediates [(V) and (VI)] postulated²¹ in the rhodium-catalysed synthesis of 1,4-hexadiene from ethylene and butadiene where (π -crotyl) (π -ethylene)rhodium complexes have been implicated but not isolated*.

If we assume that as a ligand tetrafluoroethylene behaves formally as a two electron acceptor (see below), formation of (IV) from $Rh(\pi-C_3H_5)$ (CO)₂ can be regarded as a d⁸ to d⁶ (Rh^I to Rh^{III}) transformation which is followed in the presence of CO by a reductive step (Rh^{III} to Rh^{II}) to give (IIIa).

A similar mechanism of oxidative–addition followed by intramolecular reduction accounts for the ready insertion of fluoro-olefins into π -allyl- and methallylcobalt carbonyls¹⁹ (*Scheme 2*).

^{*} Certain nickel complexes also catalyse the formation of hexadienes by the coupling of ethylene and 1.3-butadiene²².



Scheme 2. Possible mode of insertion of C_2F_4 into π -allylcobalt bonds.

REACTIONS OF d¹⁰-COMPLEXES

1. Fluoro-olefins

The observation that tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)platinum undergoes an oxidative–elimination reaction with perfluoroalkyl iodides²³, e.g.

$$(Ph_3P)_4Pt + C_2F_5I \xrightarrow{-Ph_3P} Pt(PPh_3)_2(C_2F_5)(I)$$

prompted a study of the corresponding reaction with tetrafluoroethylene²⁴. The product $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(C_2F_4)$ (VIIa) is air-stable, does not decompose below its melting point (218°C), and the tetrafluoroethylene moiety cannot be displaced by electron pair donor ligands. In contrast, the complex $(Ph_3P)_2Pt(C_2H_4)$ [decomp. 122°C] has not been made from $Pt(PPh_3)_4$ but by reducing $Pt(PPh_3)_2(O_2)$ in the presence of ethylene, and the latter is readily displaced from the platinum complex by other ligands²⁵.

The reaction of fluoro-olefins with Pt(PPh₃)₄ is general and a number of complexes of type (VII) have been prepared²⁴, including the hexafluorobicyclo[2.2.0]hexa-2,5-diene ('Dewar' hexafluorobenzene) complex (VIII)²⁶. The compounds PtL₄ [L = PPh₂Me and L = PPhMe₂] also form very stable adducts L₂Pt(C₂F₄) with tetrafluoroethylene²⁷.





The properties of the fluoro-olefin-platinum adducts L_2Pt (fluoro-olefin) are such that we believe that they are most satisfactorily formulated with metallocyclopropane ring structures (VII), rather than as conventional ' π -complexes'.

The ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectrum of (VIIa) is typical of the X resonance of an $X_2AA'X'_2$ system and is invariant with temperature. The 'AB' resonance of the CF₂ group in the spectrum of (VIId) showed a coupling constant $J_{FF} =$ 188 Hz. This value is similar²⁸ to that observed for ²J(F,F) in substituted fluorocyclopropane systems and very much higher than that customarily found for a vinylic coupling constant. For example, from the spectrum of CF₃CF:CF₂, the coupling constant between the fluorine nuclei of the CF₂ group is 57 Hz. These results imply not only a rigidity of the PtC₂ interaction in (VII), but also a significant change in hybridization of the carbon atoms upon coordination. From a study of the ¹H and ¹⁹F spectra of (π -C₅H₅)Rh(C₂F₄) (π -C₂H₄), Cramer *et al.*²⁹ have similarly concluded that the bonding of the C₂F₄ group is best pictured as occurring via two σ -bonds*. Apparently the electronegative fluorine atoms induce an extensive transfer of electron density from the metal to the π^* -orbital of the C₂ group, thereby perhaps even increasing by two the formal oxidation state of the metal. Thus in applying the Dewar, Chatt and Duncanson^{31,32} scheme of



* An analysis³⁰ of the ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectrum of $cis_{-[(MeO)_3P]_2}Ru(C_2F_4)(CO)_2$ also reveals coupling constant changes as expected for sp³ hybridized carbon atoms of the C₂F₄ group.





metal-olefin bonding to the attachment of fluoro-olefins to metal ions it seems best to invoke a high degree of retro-dative bonding from the metal to the fluorocarbon. The degree of back bonding from the metal will depend on many factors, in particular on the other ligands present, but could in certain circumstances occur to the extent of activating (by populating the antibonding orbital) the C_2 linkage so that it becomes equivalent to a C—C bond, and a rigid metallocyclopropane ring is thereby produced.

An x-ray crystallographic study has not yet been reported on a C_2F_4 adduct of a metal of the Ni subgroup but a study of the complex $(Ph_3P)_2PtCF_2CFCl$ (VIIb) has revealed some interesting features³³. The Pt atom, the two P atoms and the C atoms of the olefin are nearly coplanar as would be expected for Pt^{II}. Unfortunately, there is disorder among the halogen atoms and little can be established about the olefin geometry other than that the olefinic substituents are bent back from the platinum atom.

An important aspect of the chemistry of complexes of type (VIIb) and (VIIc) is that they readily rearrange, either thermally or in polar solvents to give perfluorovinylplatinum complexes $(IX)^{24, 34}$. These vinyl rearrangements are accelerated by silver acetate; the role of the silver ion is probably to facilitate formation of a carbonium ion (or cationic metal complex) which is then attacked by acetate ion (*Scheme 3*).



Scheme 3. The vinyl rearrangement promoted by silver acetate.

Interestingly, so far it has been impossible to isolate nickel and palladium complexes of type (X). Treatment of zero-valent compounds of these metals with chloro- or bromo-trifluoroethylene affords perfluorovinyl compounds $(XI)^{35-38*}$, e.g.

 $\begin{aligned} (\text{PhMe}_2\text{As})_4\text{Ni} + \text{CF}_2: \text{CFBr} &\rightarrow \textit{trans-}(\text{PhMe}_2\text{As})_2\text{Ni}(\text{CF}:\text{CF}_2)\text{Br} \\ &+ 2\text{AsMe}_2\text{Ph} \\ (\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{Ni}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4) + \text{CF}_2: \text{CFCl} &\rightarrow \textit{trans-}(\text{Ph}_3\text{P})_2\text{Ni}(\text{CF}:\text{CF}_2)\text{Cl} + \text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \\ (\text{Ph}_2\text{MeP})_4\text{Pd} + \text{CF}_2: \text{CFBr} &\rightarrow \textit{trans-}(\text{Ph}_2\text{MeP})_2\text{Pd}(\text{CF}:\text{CF}_2)\text{Br} \\ &+ 2\text{PMePh}_2 \end{aligned}$

^{*} For the formation of chlorovinylmetal complexes from the reactions between chloroolefins and zero-valent d¹⁰-metal complexes see references 39–41.

The perfluorovinylmetal compounds all show a strong band in their infrared spectra in the range $1700-1730 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ due to the double bond of the CF :CF₂ group.

Our isolation of the platinum compounds (VIIb) and (VIIc) and their rearrangement into (IX), suggests that the complexes (XI) are formed via unstable three-membered ring compounds (X). However, an alternative pathway³⁸ could involve the direct elimination of Cl^- and Br^- from an intermediate ionic species (*Scheme 4*).



Scheme 4. Formation of a perfluorovinyl compound directly from a 1,3-dipolar intermediate or from a three-membered ring complex.



 $L = Ph_2PMe$, $(PhO)_3P$, $EtC(CH_2O)_3P$, Bu^tNC , etc. $L_2 = diphos$, diars and bipy.

Scheme 5. Some hexafluoroacetone complexes of nickel.

2. Hexafluoroacetone and hexafluoroisopropylideneamine complexes

Many hexafluoroacetone metal complexes^{42–46} have been isolated from reactions between this electrophilic ketone¹⁸ and low-valent transition metal compounds. In the earliest example of this behaviour hexafluoro-acetone was found to react rapidly with tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)-platinum(0) in benzene to afford the air-stable complex (XII)⁴². Several hexafluoroacetone-nickel complexes have been prepared, some via ligand

exchange from $(1,5-C_8H_{12})Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ (Scheme 5)^{36,37,43,44}.

Hexafluoroacetone shows a strong v_{CO} band in its infrared spectrum at 1807 cm^{-1 47}. No such band appears in the spectra of any of the hexafluoroacetone metal complexes. The ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra of the complexes all show signals at about 10 ppm to lower field than uncoordinated hexafluoroacetone (77.2 ppm)*. The ¹⁹F n.m.r spectra of the complexes $(Ph_2MeP)_2Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ [double-doublet, J_{PF} (cis) 7.0; J_{PF} (trans) 11.0 Hz], $(Ph_3P)_2$ Ni $\cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ [doublet, $J_{PF}(trans)$ 12.2 Hz], and $(Ph_3P)_2Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ (XII) [triplet of double-doublets, J_{PF} (cis) 1.3; J_{PF} (trans) 11.3; J_{PtF} 70.5 Hz] are informative concerning the structure and bonding in these compounds. If the two phosphorus nuclei, the metal atom and the ketonic group are all coplanar then although the CF₃ groups are magnetically equivalent they are cis and trans to two phosphorus nuclei, and this could give rise to two ³¹P-¹⁹F couplings. In the case of spectra which show two doublets, e.g. $(Ph_2MeP)_2Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$, it is assumed that the larger coupling is due to ${}^{31}P-CF_3(trans)$. In some spectra where only a doublet is observed, e.g. $(Ph_3P)_2$ Ni-C(CF₃)₂O, it is further assumed that the coupling J_{P-CF_3} (cis) has fallen below 1 Hz. The spectrum of (XII), which is invariant with temperature, appears as a triplet of double-doublets, the triplet arising through ¹⁹⁵Pt-¹⁹F coupling. The existence of doublets and double-doublets in the ¹⁹F n.m.r. band patterns is in accord with a rigid three-membered ring structure as depicted for (XII). This could arise through strong back-bonding from the metal to the π^* -orbital of the CO group so that the metal ion approaches a formal oxidation state of +2, although the degree of oxidation will depend on the metal and the nature of the other ligands.

Very recently Penfold and Countryman⁵⁰ have carried out a single crystal

x-ray diffraction study of the complex $(Ph_3P)_2Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$. The two phosphorous atoms, the nickel atom and the C=O group are nearly coplanar, and the metal atom is equidistant from the C and O atoms. The threemembered ring structure for the hexafluoroacetone adducts is thus placed on a firm basis by this result.

A series of related three-membered ring complexes have been prepared from the imines $(CF_3)_2C:NH$ and $(CF_3)_2C:NMe$, e.g.^{38, 44, 46, 51}

^{*} A number of hexafluoroacetone adducts of d⁸-complexes have been described^{42, 48, 49}, and in general the chemical shifts also fall below those of the free ligand, e.g. $(Ph_2MeP)_2(OC)(Cl)$ $Ir \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ (73.9 ppm), $[EtC(CH_2O)_3P]_2(OC)_2Ru \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ (68.6 ppm), $(Ph_2MeP)_2(OC)_2Ru \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ (75.7 ppm).

$$\begin{split} (Ph_3P)_2Ni(C_2H_4) + (CF_3)_2C:NH &\rightarrow (Ph_3P)_2Ni\cdot C(CF_3)_2NH + C_2H_4 \\ (Ph_3P)_4Pd + (CF_3)_2C:NH &\rightarrow (Ph_3P)_2Pd\cdot C(CF_3)_2NH + 2Ph_3P \\ (Ph_3P)_2Pt(PhCH:CHPh) + (CF_3)_2C:NMe &\rightarrow (Ph_3P)_2Pt\cdot C(CF_3)_2NMe + PhCH:CHPh \end{split}$$

The ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra of the hexafluoroisopropylideneamine and *N*-methylhexafluoroisopropylideneamine complexes are similar to those of their hexafluoroacetone analogues, and as with these compounds the resonance signal is centred at lower field than that of the free ligands [(CF₃)₂-C:NH, 73.1 and 74.9 ppm, $J_{\rm FF}$ 6 Hz; (CF₃)₂C:NMe, 66.9 and 73.4 ppm,

 J_{FF} 8 Hz⁵²]. Thus the spectrum⁴⁶ of (diphos)Pt·C(CF₃)₂NH appears as a double-doublet centred at 66.6 ppm with ¹⁹⁵Pt-¹⁹F satellites ($J_{PF}(trans)$ 11; $J_{PF}(cis)$ 2; J_{Pt-F} 72 Hz). The geminal CF₃ groups are apparently equivalent but have different couplings with the *cis* and *trans* ³¹P nuclei.

The apparent equivalence of the CF₃ groups in the imine complexes is surprising because one CF₃ group is ostensibly syn to a hydrogen or methyl group and the other syn to a lone pair. On cooling solutions of the compounds only line broadening is observed in the spectra. Two explanations have been advanced: (i) back bonding from the metal to the imine might be sufficient to rehybridize the N atoms so that the N-bonded methyl group or proton is coplanar with the PtCN ring, or (ii) rapid inversion is occurring at the nitrogen atom; a possible mechanism for which could involve a vacant metal p_z -orbital (Scheme 6)⁵¹.



Scheme 6. Inversion of imine complexes via a symmetrical intermediate formed by π -bonding from a filled nitrogen $2p\pi$ orbital and a vacant $6p\pi$ orbital of platinum.

This problem has been resolved by an x-ray crystallographic study of complex (XIII) by Chan and Penfold⁵³. The three-membered ring is symmetrical, and the coordination about the platinum closely planar. The methyl group projects from the P_2PtNC plane, with the $PtNC(H_3)$ angle being 110°. The CN distance is 1.39 Å. This result is important both in establishing the previously postulated three-membered ring structures for

	Table 1. Five-membere	d heterocycl	ic metallo-ring complexes.	
Compound	Colour	m.p.°C	¹⁹ F n.m.r.*	Reference
$(Et_3P)_2Ni\cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2CF_2$	Yellow	141	104.8 (tt, $J_{\rm FF}$ 1.7 : $J_{\rm FF}$ 44), 138.9 (t, $J_{\rm FF}$ 1.7).	56
(Ph ₂ MeP) ₂ Ni-CF ₂ (CF ₂) ₂ CF ₂	Yellow-brown	182†	101.5 (t, J _{PF} 47), 138.8 (s).	56
(Bu _a P),Ni-CF,(CF,),CF,	Yellow	47	103.3 (t, <i>J</i> _{PF} 44.8), 138.6 (s).	56
$(Ph_3P)_2Ni\cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2CF_2$	Yellow	182	99.3 (t, J _{PF} 48.0), 137.0 (s).	56
$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{c} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	Yellow	268†	104.0 (tt, $J_{\rm FF}$ 2.3; $J_{\rm PF}$ 58.1), 138.8 (t, $J_{\rm FF}$ 2.3).	56
$[(MeO)_3P]_2Ni\cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2CF_2$	Yellow	89	103.6 (br.s), 138.9 (s).	56
$(bipy)Ni-CF_2(CF_2)_2CF_2$	Yellow	320†	$109.0 (t, J_{\rm FF} 1.5), 139.4 (t, J_{\rm FF} 1.5).$	56
$(\gamma - picoline) Ni - CF_2 (CF_2)_2 CF_2$	White	204†	111.7 (s), 139.8 (s).	56
$(1,5-C_8H_{12})Ni\cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2CF_2$	Yellow	133†	103.3 (t, $J_{\rm FF}$ 2.5), 138.4 (t, $J_{\rm FF}$ 2.5).	56
$(PhMe_3As)_2Ni\cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2CF_2$	Orange	165	99.0 (t, $J_{\rm FF} \sim 1.0$), 139.1 (t, $J_{\rm FF} \sim 1.0$).	37
$(diars)Ni\cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2CF_2$	Yellow	254	99.0 (t, $J_{\rm FF} \sim 1.0$), 137.5 (t, $J_{\rm FF} \sim 1.0$).	37
$(Bu^{t}NC)_{2}Ni\cdot CF_{2}(CF_{2})_{2}CF_{2}$	Yellow	148	101.6 (s), 138.2 (s).	44
$(1,5-C_8H_{12})Pt\cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2CF_2$	White	164	98,92 (br.s. J _{PtF} 422), 137.8 (br.s. J _{PtF} 60).	58
$(diphos)Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O$	Yellow	201	$64.6 (\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{PF}} \ 7.0; J_{\mathrm{FF}} \ 3), 80.9 (\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{FF}} \ 3).$	46
$(diars) Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O$	Yellow	180	65.7 (s), 81.5 (s).	37
$(Bu'NC)_2Ni\cdot C(CF_3)_2O\cdot C(CF_3)_2O$	Yellow	160	64.3 (m), 80.7 (m).	44
(Bu'NC), Ni-C(CF ₃), NH-C(CF ₃), NH	Yellow	128†	66.1 (m), 80.6 (m).	44

F. G. A. STONE

$[(MeO)_3P]_2Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2O \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$	White 92	$f = 65.7 \text{ (m, } J_{\text{PF}}(trans) 9.0, J_{\text{PF}}(cis) 5.0), 81.4 \text{ (m, } J_{\text{FF}} 3.0)$	45
$[Ph(MeO)_2P]_2Pd\cdot C(CF_3)_2O\cdot C(CF_3)_2O$	White 134	$= 64.8 (\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{PF}}(trans) 8.0, J_{\mathrm{PF}}(cis) 5.0), 80.9 (\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{FF}} 3.0)$	45
$(Ph_2MeP)_2Pd\cdot C(CF_3)_2O\cdot C(CF_3)_2O$	White 134	$64.1 \text{ (m, } J_{PF} 6.0), 80.4 \text{ (m, } J_{FF} 3.0).$	45
(diphos)Pd-C(CF ₃) ₂ O-C(CF ₃) ₂ O	White 193	$f = 66.3 (\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{PF}} 6.0), 81.1 (\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{FF}} 3.0).$	45
$[(MeO)_3P] [Ph_2MeP] Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O$	White 117	$f = 66.4 (\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{PF}} 6.0), 81.1 (\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{FF}} 3.0).$	45
$(Me_2PhCH_2As_2Pd\cdot C(CF_3)_2O\cdot C(CF_3)_2O$	Yellow 131	\uparrow 65.5 (m, $J_{\rm FF}$ 3.0), 80.4 (m, $J_{\rm FF}$ 3.0).	45
$(Et_3P)_2Pd\cdot OC(CF_3)_2 \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$	White 218	† 71.0 (s).	45
$(Bu^{t}NC)_{2}Pd \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}O \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}O$	White 156	68.3 (m), 81.1 (m).	38
(Bu'NC) ₂ Pd·C(CF ₃) ₂ NH·C(CF ₃) ₂ NH	White 18(† 65.7 (m), 80.5 (m).	38
$(PhMe_2As)_2Pd\cdot C(CF_3)_2NH\cdot C(CF_3)_2NH$	Yellow 93	$64.4 \text{ (m, } J_{FF} 3.0), 81.1 \text{ (m, } J_{FF} 3.0).$	38
$(Ph_2MeP)_2Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O$	White 17	64.9 (m, $J_{\rm pr}(trans)$ 6; $J_{\rm pr}(cis)$ 1; $J_{\rm FF}$ 3; $J_{\rm PrF}$ 76), 80.6	, J _{FF} 3). 46
(diphos)Pt·C(CF ₃) ₂ O·C(CF ₃) ₂ O	White 234	$66.6 (\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{PF}} 4.5; J_{\mathrm{FF}} 3; J_{\mathrm{PtF}} 72), 80.7 (\mathrm{m}, J_{\mathrm{FF}} 3).$	46
$[(M_{\varepsilon}O)_{3}P]_{2}Pt\cdotC(CF_{3})_{2}O\cdotC(CF_{3})_{2}O$	White	66.0 (m, J _{PtF} 80), 82.5 (m).	46
$(Bu^{t}NC)(Ph_{3}P)Pt \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}O \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}O$	White 210	$67.3 \text{ (m, } J_{\text{ PF}} 6; J_{\text{ FF}} 3; J_{\text{ PF}} 86$), $81.0 \text{ (m, } J_{\text{ FF}} 3$).	46
$(Et_3P)_2Pt \cdot OC(CF_3)_2 \cdot C(CF_3)_2O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF_3)O(CF$	White 60	71.3 (s).	58
$(1,5-C_8H_{12})Pt\cdot C(CF_3)_2O\cdot C(CF_3)_2O$	White 18:	$67.1 \text{ (m, } J_{FF} 3; J_{PtF} 68), 80.4 \text{ (m, } J_{FF} 3).$	58, 59
$(diphos)Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2 NH \cdot C(CF_3)_2 NH$	White 249	66.1 (m, $J_{PF}(trans)$ 7; $J_{PF}(cis)$ 3; J_{FF} 3; J_{PLF} 80), 81.7	, J _{FF} 2). 46

* Chemical shifts are relative to CCl₃F (0.0) ppm; couplings are measured in Hz. For further details of the spectra, see original references.

561

the imine complexes, and strongly suggesting also that the n.m.r. equivalence of the CF₃ groups is due to rapid inversion at the nitrogen atom in solution⁵¹.

3. Formation of five-membered ring complexes

Reference was made earlier to the octafluorometallocyclopentane com-

plex $(\pi$ -C₅H₅) (OC)Co·CF₂(CF₂)₂CF₂, formed from Co(CO)₂(π -C₅H₅) and tetrafluoroethylene. Of early vintage also was the iron compound (OC)₄Fe·CF₂(CF₂)₂CF₂,^{1,54} and a nickel complex (diphos)Ni·CF₂-(CF₂)₂CF₂⁵⁵. No other complexes of this kind were discovered until the work of Cundy⁵⁶ who found that a variety of zero-valent nickel complexes having phosphine groups as ligands reacted with tetrafluoroethylene to give octafluoronickelacyclopentane complexes (XIV) (*Table 1*).

$$\begin{split} L_4\text{Ni} + 2\text{CF}_2:\text{CF}_2 &\rightarrow L_2\text{Ni}\cdot\text{CF}_2(\text{CF}_2)_2\text{CF}_2 + 2L\\ L &= \text{PEt}_3, \text{PPh}_2\text{Me and P(OMe)}_3\\ (\text{Bu}_3\text{P})_2\text{Ni}(\text{diphos}) + 2\text{CF}_2:\text{CF}_2 \rightarrow (\text{diphos})\text{Ni}\cdot\text{CF}_2(\text{CF}_2)_2\text{CF}_2 + 2\text{PBu}_3\\ (\text{Bu}_3\text{P})_2\text{Ni}(1,5\text{-}\text{C}_8\text{H}_{12}) + 2\text{CF}_2:\text{CF}_2 \rightarrow (\text{Bu}_3\text{P})_2\text{Ni}\cdot\text{CF}_2(\text{CF}_2)_2\text{CF}_2 + 1,5\text{-}\text{C}_8\text{H}_{12} \end{split}$$

These results were in marked contrast with the corresponding reactions with tetrakis(phosphine)platinum complexes which afford three-membered

ring complexes $L_2Pt \cdot CF_2CF_2$ (e.g. VIIa). Octafluorometallocyclopentane complexes all show a characteristic band pattern in their ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra consisting of two multiplets of equal intensity; the signal at lower field being assigned⁵⁷ to the CF₂ groups adjacent to the metal atoms. The two signals often appear as triplets, with splittings of ~2 Hz. The apparent chemical shift equivalence of the fluorine nuclei of a given CF₂ group suggests either a planar metallocyclopentane ring, or an envelope structure undergoing rapid conformational change. An x-ray crystallographic study should resolve this problem.

The isolation of several complexes of type (XIV) prompted a search for other compounds containing metal atoms in five-membered rings. It was noted that the nickel compounds $L_2Ni \cdot CF_2CFCF_3$ (L = PEt₃ or PPh₃) did not react further with perfluoropropene and also that in contrast to tetrafluoroethylene, hexafluoroacetone reacted with tetrakis(tertiary phosphine)nickel compounds to give three-membered ring compounds $L_2Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$, and not compounds of type $L_2Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2O \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ *. Nevertheless. the important observation was made that the imine complex (Ph₃P)₂Pt \cdot C(CF₃)₂NH reacted slowly with hexafluoroacetone to give the five-membered heterocyclic ring compound (XV)⁵¹.

The ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectrum was in agreement with the structure proposed. Two resonances of equal intensity occurred at 64.1 and 81.0 ppm. Double irradiation of the high-field band collapsed the low-field band to a doublet having ¹⁹⁵Pt satellites, which is therefore assigned to a $(CF_3)_2C$ group

^{*} Interestingly (diphos) $\overline{\text{Ni} \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O}$ can be prepared from (diphos)- $\overline{\text{Ni} \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O}^{46}$.

















(XVI X = 0 or NH)



(XVIIa)



(XVIIIa)

(XVIIb)





directly attached to platinum, the doublet arising owing to coupling with the ³¹P nucleus trans to the $(CF_3)_2C$ group. Double irradiation of the lowfield band collapsed the high-field band to a singlet, there being no evidence of ¹⁹⁵Pt-¹⁹F spin-spin coupling; this was expected since this band is assigned to a $C(CF_3)_2$ group not directly attached to platinum. These observations are consistent with structure (XV), or an isomer in which the O and NH groups exchange positions. However, because of results discussed later, structure (XV) is preferred, since it would be formed by insertion of

 $(CF_3)_2CO$ into the Pt-C bond of $(Ph_3P)_2Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2NH$. It was also found⁴⁴ that the complex Ni(Bu^tNC)₄⁶⁰ was very reactive towards the fluorocarbon substrates readily forming (Bu^tNC)₂- $\dot{N}i \cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2 \dot{C}F_2$ with tetrafluoroethylene and ring compounds (XVI) with hexafluoro-acetone or -isopropylideneamine. These were the first

five-membered ring complexes to be prepared involving the direct reaction of $(CF_3)_2C$: O or $(CF_3)_2C$: NH with a d¹⁰-metal complex, and their synthesis served to show the important role of the ligand (Bu'NC) in determining the course of the reaction, since as mentioned above, if tertiary phosphine groups are present on nickel, five-membered ring formation with $(CF_3)_2C$: O or $(CF_3)_2C$: NH does not generally occur. Another early observation which indicated the importance of the nature of the ligands present on the metal atom concerned the reaction of $(1,5-C_8H_{12})_2Ni$ with $C_2F_4^{56}$. The product of this reaction was not $1,5-C_8H_{12}Ni\cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2CF_2$, but an unstable compound believed to be $1,5-C_8H_{12}Ni\cdot CF_2CF_2$. Interestingly, when the latter was treated with triethylphosphine in the presence of tetrafluoroethylene a further molecule of this substrate was 'activated' by nickel and the stable five-membered ring compound (XIV) [L = PEt_3] formed.

With a view to understanding the mechanism of three- and five-membered ring formation a series of experiments were undertaken to elucidate some of the factors involved.

(i) Formation of five- from three-membered rings.

Formation of (XV) from $(Ph_3P)_2Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2NH$ indicated that threemembered ring complexes were intermediates in the formation of fivemembered ring compounds but it was necessary to establish the generality of this single observation.

As mentioned above, treatment of Ni(Bu'NC)₄ with $(CF_3)_2CO$ or $(CF_3)_2CNH$ affords complexes (XVI). However, treatment of $(1,5-C_8H_{12})$ -Ni·C(CF₃)₂X [X = O or NH] with tertiary butylisocyanide yielded the three-membered ring compounds $(Bu'NC)_2Ni$ ·C(CF₃)₂X. These complexes were then shown to undergo facile ring expansion (*Scheme* 7)⁴⁴. Moreover, treatment of $(Bu'NC)_2Ni$ ·C(CF₃)₂O with $(CF_3)_2CNH$ affords a single product, the structure of which has been established by Penfold and Country-man⁵⁰ by a single crystal x-ray diffraction study as (XVIIa), rather than its isomer (XVIIb). When hexafluoroacetone reacts with $(Bu'NC)_2$ -Ni·C(CF₃)₂NH isomer (XVIIb) is formed as a minor product, but (XVIIa) is the major product of this ring expansion reaction.





As discussed later, it is significant that the x-ray diffraction study shows that (XVIIa) forms by insertion of the imine into the nickel-carbon bond of $(Bu^tNC)_2Ni^{+}C(CF_3)_2O$.

We have alluded earlier to the ready formation of the phosphine complexes (XIV) from Ni(PR₃)₄ compounds and tetrafluoroethylene. In order to establish the mode of formation of the octafluoronickelacyclopentane complexes. t,t,t-cyclododeca-1,5,9-trienenickel was treated with C₂F₄ at -78° C to give (cdt)Ni·CF₂CF₂. Treatment of this compound with triphenylphosphine afforded the desired three-membered ring complex (Ph₃P)₂-Ni·CF₂CF₂, which although reasonably stable in the crystalline state (decomp. 170°C) was unstable in solution⁶¹. Significantly it reacted rapidly with tetrafluoroethylene to afford (XIV) [L = PPh₃], providing yet another example of the formation of a five- from a three-membered ring.

Several other examples of ring expansion reactions have been established. The compound (diars) $Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$, prepared from (1,5-C₈H₁₂)- $Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ and *o*-bis(dimethylarsino)benzene, reacts with (CF₃)₂CO to give (diars) $Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2O \cdot C(CF_3)_2O^{37}$ Similarly, (diphos) $Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$, prepared from [(PhO)₃P]₂ $Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ and 1,2-bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane, reacts with (CF₃)₂CNH to give (diphos) $Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2NH \cdot C(CF_3)_2O^{45}$.

- (ii) The role of the ligands in promoting reactions with fluorocarbon substrates. We have referred earlier to the activating effect of tertiary butylisocyanide, compared with tertiary phosphine, in promoting formation of five-membered ring complexes with nickel. Several observations have been made suggesting that ring expansion is delicately controlled by the relative σ -donor and π -acceptor properties of the ligands on the metal atoms. Only a few examples can be listed :
- (a) Whereas $(Bu^{t}NC)_{2}Ni \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}O$ undergoes ring expansion with hexafluoroacetone, the analogous complex $(PhNC)_{2}Ni \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}O$ does not⁴⁴.
- (b) Whereas $(Ph_2MeP)_2Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ reacts with hexafluoroacetone to give $(Ph_2MeP)_2Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2O \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$, the complex $(Ph_3P)_2 \cdot Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ does not react with excess of the ketone⁴⁶.
- (c) Although (diphos) $Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ reacts with hexafluoroacetone to give a five-membered ring complex, the compound $[(PhO)_3P]_2Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ does not⁴⁵.
- (d) Whereas $[(MeO)_3P]_4Pd$ reacts immediately with hexafluoroacetone to give $[(MeO)_3P]_2Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2O \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$, $(Ph_2MeP)_4Pd$ forms $(Ph_2MeP)_2Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$, which only on heating with excess of the ketone affords $(Ph_2MeP)_2Pd \cdot C(CF_3)_2O \cdot C(CF_3)_2O^{45}$.

Observations (a)–(c) can be accounted for by assuming that replacement of a ligand by another which is a stronger σ -donor increases the nucleophilicity of the metal and permits insertion of a second molecule of substrate. With (d), however, a species (Ph₂MeP)_nPd⁰ would be expected to be more reactive in oxidative–elimination chemistry than [(MeO)₃P]_nPd⁰. The strong π -acceptor properties of (MeO)₃P should reduce electron density at palladium.

It is possible that steric factors in an intermediate (see below) are responsible for the order of reactivity $P(OMe)_3 > PPh_2Me$ with palladium but as discussed later electronic factors may be involved also. In the case of related nickel chemistry, it has been established that access of the fluorocarbon to the metal atom is a critical factor in ring expansions. Mention was made earlier that $(Ph_3P)_2Ni \cdot CF_2CF_2$ reacts readily with tetrafluoroethylene. In contrast the complex $[MeC(CH_2PPh_2)_3]Ni \cdot CF_2CF_2$, containing a tridentate ligand, is quite inert to $C_2F_4^{27}$.

(iii) The role of the fluorocarbon substrates.

Interestingly, although the ligands $CF_3CF:CF_2$, $(CF_3)_2C:C(CN)_2$ and $(CF_3)_2C:NMe$ all readily form stable three-membered ring complexes with nickel, palladium and platinum, no five-membered ring complexes of these fluorocarbons have as yet been isolated.

Although, as mentioned above, $(Ph_3P)_2Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2O$ does not react with excess of hexafluoroacetone, this complex does react with hexafluoroisopropylideneamine, to give $(XV)^{46}$. Moreover, whereas NiL₄ (L = PR₃) compounds readily form octafluoronickelacyclopentane complexes with tetrafluoroethylene, hexafluoroacetone affords three-membered ring complexes L₂Ni·C(CF₃)₂O. Surprisingly, we have not isolated three- or fivemembered ring complexes from reactions involving PdL₄ compounds and tetrafluoroethylene, yet hexafluoroacetone and hexafluoroisopropylideneamine afford a variety of complexes with palladium.

Clearly the nature of the fluorocarbon reactant is critical in determining what kind of product, if any, is obtained. In this context we have observed several simple fluorocarbon displacement reactions, e.g.^{44, 58, 62}

$$(Bu'NC)_2 \dot{Ni} \cdot C(CF_3)_2 \dot{O} + 2C_2F_4 \rightarrow (Bu'NC)_2 \dot{Ni} \cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2 CF_2 + (CF_3)_2 CO$$

$$(Ph_3P)_2 \dot{Pd} \cdot C(CF_3)_2 \dot{NH} + (CF_3)_2 CO \rightarrow (Ph_3P)_2 \dot{Pd} \cdot C(CF_3)_2 \dot{O} + (CF_3)_2 CNH$$

$$(Ph_3P)_2 \dot{Pt} \cdot C(CF_3)_2 \dot{NH} + C_2F_3 X \rightarrow (Ph_3P)_2 \dot{Pt} \cdot CF_2 CFX + (CF_3)_2 CNH$$

$$(X = For CF_2)$$

(iv) The role of the metal atom

This is well illustrated by comparing the following pairs of reactions.

$$\begin{split} \text{Ni}(\text{PPh}_2\text{Me})_4 &+ 2\text{CF}_2:\text{CF}_2 \rightarrow (\text{Ph}_2\text{MeP})_2 \overrightarrow{\text{Ni}\cdot\text{CF}_2(\text{CF}_2)_2}\overrightarrow{\text{CF}_2} + 2\text{PPh}_2\text{Me} \\ \text{Pt}(\text{PPh}_2\text{Me})_4 &+ \text{CF}_2:\text{CF}_2 \rightarrow (\text{Ph}_2\text{MeP})_2 \overrightarrow{\text{Pt}\cdot\text{CF}_2}\overrightarrow{\text{CF}_2} + 2\text{PPh}_2\text{Me} \\ (\text{Ph}_2\text{MeP})_2 \overrightarrow{\text{Pd}\cdot\text{C}(\text{CF}_3)_2}\overrightarrow{\text{O}} &+ (\text{CF}_3)_2\text{CO}\frac{\text{heat}}{60^\circ\overrightarrow{\text{C}}}(\text{Ph}_2\text{MeP})_2 \overrightarrow{\text{Pd}\cdot\text{C}(\text{CF}_3)_2}\overrightarrow{\text{O}\cdot\text{C}(\text{CF}_3)_2}\overrightarrow{\text{O}} \\ (\text{Ph}_2\text{MeP})_2 \overrightarrow{\text{Pt}\cdot\text{C}(\text{CF}_3)_2}\overrightarrow{\text{O}} &+ (\text{CF}_3)_2\text{CO}\frac{25^\circ\overrightarrow{\text{C}}}{(25^\circ\overrightarrow{\text{C}}}(\text{Ph}_2\text{MeP})_2 \overrightarrow{\text{Pt}\cdot\text{C}(\text{CF}_3)_2}\overrightarrow{\text{O}\cdot\text{C}(\text{CF}_3)_2}\overrightarrow{\text{O}} \\ \end{split}$$

^{*} This ring expansion does not occur in the presence of PPh₂Me.

FLUOROCARBONS IN OXIDATIVE-ADDITION REACTIONS

$$(Ph_{3}P)_{2}\dot{N}i \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}\dot{N}H + (CF_{3})_{2}CO \rightarrow (Ph_{3}P)_{2}\dot{N}i \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}\dot{O} + (CF_{3})_{2}CNH$$
$$(Ph_{3}P)_{2}\dot{P}t \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}\dot{N}H + (CF_{3})_{2}CO \rightarrow (Ph_{3}P)_{2}\dot{P}t \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}O \cdot C(CF_{3})_{2}\dot{N}H$$

Interestingly, nickel is more reactive towards tetrafluoroethylene than platinum in the sense that octafluoronickelacyclopentane complexes readily form. This reactivity appears to be reversed, however, with $(CF_3)_2CO$ or $(CF_3)_2CNH$ when ring expansion reactions occur more readily in the sequence Ni < Pd < Pt.

4. Mechanism of three- and five-membered ring formation

Some years ago it was shown^{63, 64} that $[Re(CO)_5]^-$ and related carbonyl anions displaced fluoride ion from fluoro-olefins, and it was suggested that carbanions are involved as intermediates. It seems possible therefore that

$$[\operatorname{Re}(\operatorname{CO})_5]^- + \operatorname{CF}_2: \operatorname{CF}_2 \to (\operatorname{OC})_5 \operatorname{Re}\operatorname{CF}_2 \overline{\operatorname{CF}}_2 \to \operatorname{CF}_2: \operatorname{CFRe}(\operatorname{CO})_5 + \operatorname{F}^- \operatorname{Re}^1 \operatorname{d}^6$$

ionic intermediates are involved also in the formation of the three-membered ring complexes, viz.



Indeed studies on the reaction of $(Ph_3P)_4Pt$ with a 1:1 *cis/trans* mixture of CFCI:CFCI have revealed that the *trans* isomer predominates in the mixture

of the complexes $(Ph_3P)_2Pt \cdot CFClCFCl$ produced, whereas the unreacted gas retains its isomeric composition⁶⁵. This result strongly suggests that ionic intermediates (XVIII), which permit isomerization by C—C bond rotation, are involved in formation of the three-membered rings Pt · CFClCFCl.

Just as three-membered ring complexes could form by ring closure of an ionic intermediate so five-membered ring complexes might also form (*Scheme 8*) from these 1,3-dipolar intermediates following the well established pattern of organic chemistry⁶⁶. Although the mechanism of *Scheme 8* accounts very satisfactorily for the 'head-to-tail' arrangement of the (CF₃)₂-CX[X = O or NH] moieties in the five-membered ring complexes formed from hexafluoro-acetone and -isopropylideneamine, we do not favour this mechanism of five-membered ring formation for the following reasons.

The mechanism does not involve coordination of a second molecule of fluorocarbon substrate to the metal ions yet, as mentioned in (*iii*) above, simple ligand exchange reactions $L_2M(AB) + CD \rightleftharpoons L_2M(CD) + AB$ are observed, implying six-coordinate metal intermediates in a transition state. A more fundamental criticism of the 1,3-dipolar intermediate mechanism



Scheme 8. Formation of both three- and five-membered ring hexafluoroacetone complexes via ionic intermediates.

for five-membered ring formation comes from a consideration of the formation of (XVIIa) from $(Bu^tNC)_2Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2O^{44}$. Penfold and Countryman's⁵⁰ x-ray result shows that the oxygen atom remains bonded to the nickel atom and that the imine molecule has inserted into the carbon-nickel bond. On the basis of the most likely 1,3-dipolar intermediate $(Bu^tNC)_2$ -Ni⁺·C(CF₃)_2O⁻ one would not expect this result, but instead formation of isomer (XVIIb). While it is true that both (XVIIa) and (XVIIb) form from $(Bu^tNC)_2Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2NH$ and $(CF_3)_2CO$ this can be accounted for on the basis of an octahedral intermediate with a long enough lifetime to allow free rotation of the coordinated ligands $(CF_3)_2CX$ [X = O or NH].

We believe that all the experimental results are best rationalized in terms of formation of the three-membered ring complexes via 1,3-dipolar intermediates and formation of the five-membered ring complexes via coordination of a second molecule of substrate giving rise to a six-coordinate intermediate (*Scheme 9*).



Scheme 9. A possible route to five-membered ring complexes. AB and CD are fluorocarbon substrate molecules, and in many instances (see text) AB and CD are the same compound.

In the case of a substrate such as $(CF_3)_2CO$ or $(CF_3)_2CNH$ one might expect polarization in the sense $\overset{+}{C}$ — \overline{O} and $\overset{+}{C}$ — \overline{N} in the octahedral intermediate, which would then be expected to collapse in such a way as to give rise to a head-to-tail arrangement of the $(CF_3)_2CX$ units.

From Scheme 9 it should not be inferred that in the six-coordinate intermediate the metal ion is necessarily in as high a formal oxidation state as (+ IV). Indeed, during coordination to a second molecule of substrate (CD) the metal ion in $L_2M(AB)$ may need to withdraw electrons both from AB, so that the latter becomes more ' π -complexed', and also from the ligands L in order to interact with CD.

From this mechanism one might expect at first sight that five-membered ring formation would always be favoured in the sequence Ni < Pd < Pt, and also favoured by increasing the base strengths of the ligands L. As discussed earlier, there are several examples where these principles are followed but exceptions have also been noted. These exceptions can also be accommodated in terms of Scheme 9 if it is recognized that the function of the ligands is very delicate and that they thereby 'fine tune' the nature of the final product. Thus steric effects could be important in the six-coordinate intermediate, inhibiting its formation in some cases. Moreover, the intermediate may not form because its precursor $L_2M(AB)$ is too stable in the sense that the metal ion has become so oxidized by transfer of electrons to AB that it has no residual nucleophilicity to bond a second molecule (AB or CD). Indeed, the formation of $L_2 Pt \cdot CF_2 CF_2$ rather than $L_2 Pt \cdot CF_2 (CF_2)_2 CF_2$ (L = phosphine) can be explained in this way. In $L_2 Pt \cdot CF_2 CF_2$ the platinum atom may be closer to Pt^{II} than is nickel to Ni^{II} in the analogous but more reactive $L_2 Ni \cdot CF_2 CF_2$. It could be argued that if the ligands on platinum were less strong as σ -donors than phosphines, octafluoroplatinacyclopentane rings might form. Model compounds are difficult to prepare but a most interesting observation has been made by Empsall⁵⁸. He found that the complex $(1,5-C_8H_{12})$ $Pt \cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2 CF_2$ forms when $(1,5-C_8H_{12})$ $Pt(i-C_3H_7)_2$ is u.v. irradiated in the presence of tetrafluoroethylene. If it is assumed that $(C_8H_{12})Pt^0$ is formed in situ then apparently the platinum atom is able to activate two molecules of C_2F_4 . It is well established that cycloocta-1,5-diene

is much less basic as a ligand than tertiary phosphines. If $(C_8H_{12})Pt \cdot CF_2CF_2$ is an intermediate in this reaction then the back bonding from platinum to tetrafluoroethylene would not be so extensive and hence coordination of a second molecule of fluoro-olefin to give $(C_8H_{12})Pt \cdot CF_2(CF_2)_2CF_2$ might be possible.

In considering the formation of five- from three-membered rings we have discarded a mechanism of the type illustrated in *Scheme 10*. Firstly, it does not readily account for the simple fluorocarbon-fluorocarbon displacement reactions. Secondly, we have studied the reaction of Ni(AsMe₂Ph)₄ with trifluoroethylene and obtained a single complex which on the basis of its n.m.r. spectra is formulated as (XIX). This isomer of the system Ni(CFHCF₂)₂ would not be expected if the compound were formed by insertion of a CF₂CFH group into the C—C bond of L₂Ni·CF₂CFH.



Scheme 10. Formation of five-membered ring complexes by a pseudo $(2 + 2) \pi$ -addition.

The ¹⁹F spectrum of (XIX) showed only two groups of bands, one with an AB pattern corresponding to four F nuclei centred at 90.3 ppm (J_{AB} 262 Hz), and the other centred at 211.7 ppm of relative intensity corresponding to two F nuclei. The low-field band can be assigned to a Ni·CF₂ group^{56, 57}. The signal for the CFH groups corresponds very closely to that observed in the spectrum of the structurally related cyclic compound $\overline{CF_2CF_2CFHCFHCF_2}(\delta, 224 \text{ ppm})^{67}$.

5. Reactions of bis(trifluoromethyl)diazomethane

We have shown^{68, 69} that $(CF_3)_2CN_2^{70}$ reacts with a variety of transition metal complexes to give products, e.g. (XX)-(XXII), in which the $(CF_3)_2C$ group has inserted into metal-halogen, metal-hydrogen and metal-metal bonds. We have continued our studies with this reactive diazo compound by investigating reactions with zero-valent complexes of Ni, Pd and Pt⁷¹.

Reaction occurs at room temperature between $(CF_3)_2CN_2$ and $Ni(Bu'NC)_4$, Ni(PPh₃)₂(C₂H₄), Ni(1,5-C₈H₁₂)₂, Pd(Bu'NC)₂, Pd(C₆H₁₁NC)₂, Pt(PPh₃)₄ and Pt(PPh₃)₂(PhCH:CHPh) to form respectively complexes (XXIIIa-XXIIIf). The infrared, ¹H and ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra of the compounds showed that they were all isostructural. A strong band appears in the infrared near 1560 cm⁻¹ corresponding to an uncoordinated (CF₃)₂C=N group.

Surprisingly for the formulation shown (XXIII) the ¹⁹F n.m.r. spectra consisted of three multiplets of relative intensity 6:3:3, increasing to high field, implying that the CF₃ groups attached to the carbon adjacent to the metal atom are in identical environments. In a stereochemically rigid structure in which the nitrogen atom bonded to the metal is sp³ hybridized all the CF₃ groups should be non-equivalent. Even at low temperatures the spectra do not change their pattern.

In order to establish with certainty the nature of the complexes (XXIII) a single crystal x-ray diffraction study of (XXIIIf)* has been made by Davis and Oliver⁷². The 1,1,4,4-tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)buta-1,3-azine-metal structure has been confirmed. Moreover, the two phosphorus atoms, the platinum atom and the attached CN group are all coplanar. The CN distance is very similar to that found in the cyclic compound $N(H)CH_2CH_2$. Interestingly, the bond angles about the coordinated N atom imply that it is sp³ hybridized. The equivalence of the CF₃ groups in the n.m.r. spectra must thus arise in solution through rapid inversion at the nitrogen atom bonded to the platinum.

The mode of formation of the azine complexes is not clear but it is possible that the diazo-compound reacts as a 1,3-dipolarophile to form a four-

^{*} This complex has also been made by Lappert et al.⁷³.



Scheme 11. Possible mode of formation of azine metal complexes.

membered ring compound (Scheme 11). Cleavage of the carbon-nitrogen bond with concomitant attack on a further $(CF_3)_2CN_2$ molecule is then facilitated by stabilization of the coordinated nitrogen.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish especially to thank Professor B. R. Penfold and his co-workers for determining the molecular structures of $(Ph_3P)_2 Ni \cdot C(CF_3)_2 O$, $(Ph_3P)_2 Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2 NMe$ and $(Bu'NC)_2 Ni \cdot OC(CF_3)_2 \cdot NHC(CF_3)_2$; and Dr. Davis and Mr. Oliver for establishing the structure of the azine complex $(Ph_3P)_2 - Pt \cdot C(CF_3)_2 NN : C(CF_3)_2$. I am also indebted to my colleague Dr. Michael Green who jointly directed much of the work described, the accomplishment of which was only possible through the help of our co-workers mentioned in the references.

REFERENCES

- ¹ T. A. Manuel, S. L. Stafford and F. G. A. Stone, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 83, 249 (1961).
- ² R. B. King, P. M. Treichel and F. G. A. Stone, Proc. Chem. Soc. 69 (1961).
- ³ H. D. Kaesz, R. B. King and F. G. A. Stone, Z. Naturforsch. 15b, 763 (1960).
- ⁴ T. D. Coyle, R. B. King, E. Pitcher, S. L. Stafford, P. M. Treichel, and F. G. A. Stone, J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem. 20, 172 (1961).
- ⁵ J. P. Collman and W. R. Roper, Adv. Organomet. Chem. 7, 53 (1968).
- ⁶ J. Halpern, Accounts of Chemical Research, 3, 386 (1970).
- ⁷ L. Vaska, Accounts of Chemical Research, 1, 335 (1968).
- ⁸ J. A. McGinnety, N. C. Payne and J. A. Ibers, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 6301 (1969).
- ⁹ L. Malatesta and C. Cariello, J. Chem. Soc. 2323 (1958).
- ¹⁰ J. P. Collman, Accounts of Chemical Research, 1, 136 (1968).
- ¹¹ E. W. Abel and F. G. A. Stone, *Quart. Rev.* 24, 498 (1970).
- ¹² R. Ugo, Coord. Chem. Rev. 3, 319 (1968).
- ¹³ J. H. Nelson and H. B. Jonassen, Coord. Chem. Rev. 6, 27 (1971).
- ¹⁴ C. D. Cook and G. S. Jauhal, Canad. J. Chem. 45, 301 (1967).
- ¹⁵ J. P. Birk, J. Halpern and A. L. Pickard, Inorg. Chem. 7, 2672 (1968).
- ¹⁶ J. Lewis and S. B. Wild, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 69 (1966).
- ¹⁷ R. D. Chambers and R. H. Mobbs, Adv. Fluorine Chem. 4, 50 (1965).
- ¹⁸ C. G. Krespan and W. J. Middleton, Fluorine Chem. Rev. 1, 145 (1967).
- ¹⁹ A. Greco, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 3476 (1971).
- ²⁰ P. Roffia, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, unpublished work.
- ²¹ R. Cramer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 89, 1633 (1967); Accounts of Chemical Research, 1, 186 (1968).
- ²² C. A. Tolman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 6777 (1970).
- ²³ D. T. Rosevear and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 164 (1968).
- ²⁴ M. Green, R. B. L. Osborn, A. J. Rest and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 2525 (1968).
- ²⁵ C. D. Cook and G. S. Jauhal, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 1464 (1968).
- ²⁶ D. J. Cook, M. Green, N. Mayne and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. 1771 (1968).
- ²⁷ P. J. Maples, unpublished observations.
- ²⁸ K. L. Williamson, S. Mosser and E. D. Stedman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 93, 7208 (1971).
- ²⁹ R. Cramer, J. B. Kline and J. D. Roberts, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **91**, 2519 (1969).
- ³⁰ R. Burt, M. Cooke and M. Green, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 2975 (1970).
- ³¹ M. J. S. Dewar, Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 18, C79 (1951).
- ³² J. Chatt and L. A. Duncanson, J. Chem. Soc. 2939 (1953).
- ³³ J. N. Francis, A. McAdam and J. A. Ibers, J. Organomet. Chem. 29, 131 (1971).
- ³⁴ A. J. Mukhedkar, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 947 (1970).
- ³⁵ A. J. Mukhedkar, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 3023 (1969).
- ³⁶ J. Ashley-Smith. M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 3019 (1969).

FLUOROCARBONS IN OXIDATIVE-ADDITION REACTIONS

- ³⁷ Jane Browning, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 453 (1971).
- ³⁸ H. D. Empsall, M. Green, S. K. Shakshooki and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 3472 (1971).
- ³⁹ P. Fitton and J. E. McKeon, Chem. Commun. 4(1968).
- ⁴⁰ D. R. Fahey, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 92, 403 (1970).
- ⁴¹ W. J. Bland and R. D. W. Kemmitt, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 2062 (1969).
- ⁴² Beverley Clarke, M. Green, R. B. L. Osborn and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 167 (1968).
- 43 Jane Browning, C. S. Cundy, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 20 (1969).
- ⁴⁴ M. Green, S. K. Shakshooki and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A). 2828 (1971).
- ⁴⁵ H. D. Empsall, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 96 (1972).
- ⁴⁶ Jane Browning, unpublished observations.
- ⁴⁷ E. L. Pace, A. C. Plaush and H. V. Samuelson, Spectrochim. Acta, 22, 993 (1966).
- ⁴⁸ M. Cooke and M. Green, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 651 (1969).
- 49 R. Burt, M. Cooke and M. Green, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 2975 (1970).
- ⁵⁰ Rachel M. Countryman and B. R. Penfold, Chem. Commun. 1598 (1971).
- ⁵¹ J. Ashley-Smith, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 3161 (1970).
- ⁵² W. J. Middleton and C. G. Krespan, J. Org. Chem. 30, 1398 (1965).
- ⁵³ K. K. Chan and B. R. Penfold, private communication.
- ⁵⁴ H. H. Hoehn, L. Pratt, K. F. Watterson and G. Wilkinson, J. Chem. Soc. 2738 (1961).
- ⁵⁵ J. R. Philips, Ph.D. thesis, Harvard University (1962).
- ⁵⁶ C. S. Cundy, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1647 (1970).
- ⁵⁷ E. Pitcher, A. D. Buckingham and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Phys. 36, 124 (1962).
- ⁵⁸ H. D. Empsall, unpublished observations.
- ⁵⁹ D. J. Cook, unpublished observations.
- 60 S. Otsuka, A. Nakamura and Y. Tatsuno, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 91, 6994 (1969).
- ⁶¹ A. Greco, M. Green, S. K. Shakshooki and F. G. A. Stone, Chem. Commun. 1374 (1970).
- ⁶² J. Ashley-Smith, Ph.D. thesis, Bristol University (1969).
- ⁶³ P. W. Jolly and F. G. A. Stone, *Chem. Commun.* 85 (1965).
- 64 M. I. Bruce and F. G. A. Stone, Angew. Chem. (Internat. Ed.), 7, 747 (1968).
- 65 J. Ashley-Smith, M. Green and D. C. Wood, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1848 (1970).
- ⁶⁶ R. Huisgen, Angew. Chem. (Internat. Ed.), 7, 321 (1968).
- ⁶⁷ E. F. Mooney, Ann. Rev. N.M.R. Spectroscopy, 3, 286 (1970).
- ⁶⁸ J. Ashley-Smith, J. Clemens and F. G. A. Stone, J. Organomet. Chem. 17, P23 (1969).
- 69 Joan Cooke, W. R. Cullen, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, J. Chem. Soc. (A), 1872 (1969).
- ⁷⁰ D. M. Gale, W. J. Middleton and C. G. Krespan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 88, 3617 (1966).
- ⁷¹ J. Clemens, M. Green and F. G. A. Stone, unpublished.
- ⁷² R. E. Davis and J. D. Oliver, private communication.
- ⁷³ D. J. Cardin, B. Cetinkaya. M. F. Lappert, Lj. Manojlović-Muir, and K. W. Muir, Chem. Commun. 401 (1971).